



Chris Blow <stnp2036@gmail.com>

Saham Toney Neighbourhood Plan

Erica Whettingsteel <erica@ejwplanning.co.uk>
To: Chris Blow <stnp2036@gmail.com>
Cc: Michael Sinclair <mike_sinclair1@hotmail.co.uk>

Tue, Sep 15, 2020 at 10:22 AM

Dear Chris,

I apologise for not having come back to you sooner, this was an oversight on my part.

I confirm that I am still acting for the Sinclair's in respect of site STNP 4-7 and that this land remains deliverable and viable.

Kind regards

Erica

Erica Whettingsteel BA Hons Dip TP Dip UD MRTPI

EJW Planning
Lincoln Barn
Norwich Road
Scoulton
Norfolk NR9 4NP

t 01953 850643
m 07584 19 19 17

www.ejwplanning.co.uk

This message is private and confidential. If you have received this message in error please notify us and remove it from your system. Any use of its contents is strictly prohibited and you must not copy, distribute, print or rely on its contents in any way whatsoever. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this communication and any attachments contained within it are free from any computer viruses. No responsibility is accepted by EJW Planning Limited and the recipient should carry out and appropriate virus checks. Registered in England 07095739. Registered office Lincoln Barn, Scoulton, Norfolk NR9 4NP.

On 15 Sep 2020, at 09:26, Chris Blow <stnp2036@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Erica,
Are you still acting for the Sinclairs?

If so, further to my email of 21 August, are you able to confirm that their allocated sites STNP4 and 7 remain deliverable and viable?

We are expecting to present the Plan to the Parish Council in about 3 weeks to get their approval to submit it at Reg 15.

Sites STNP4 and 7 are the only ones for which we do not yet have formal owner confirmation as to site deliverability and viability. Without it we appear to have only two choices:

- (a) Assume the sites are deliverable and viable on the basis of 'passive assent' since we have heard nothing to the contrary. This will likely be picked up on by an examiner and questioned as to why the two sites differ from all others in this respect, and we will have a weak case to answer with; or
- (b) Assume the sites are not deliverable or viable on the basis we have not had confirmation of that from the owners. In this case we would have to remove the sites from allocation in the Plan.

Neither of the above is desirable, and we would greatly prefer owner confirmation so that the sites remain allocated on the same formal basis as all other sites, so I would be very grateful if you are able to expedite such confirmation.

Kind Regards,
Chris

Chris Blow
Work Group Leader
Saham Toney Neighbourhood Plan Committee